









The governance of mitigation in agriculture and forest landscapes

Lini Wollenberg, Pete Newton and Arun Agrawal

Friday 8 February 2013





Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS) research program of the CGIAR

- Adaptation, risk management and mitigation
- 15 Centers of the CGIAR + Future Earth

Regional approach

E and W, Africa, S. Asia, SE Asia, Latin America

CCAFS Theme III: Pro Poor Mitigation



Mainstream mitigation into agricultural development

Support agricultural finance, agribusiness and policy to lower climate impacts. Emphasis on sustainable intensification.



Innovative mechanisms

Develop and assess innovative institutions and incentives that enable mitigation and ensure benefits to poor (e.g. carbon payments, large scale adoption)



Data and methods for smallholder systems

Produce data and standards for national inventories, IPCC, carbon markets - *focus on whole farm and landscape*



Peter Newton

Tropical forest ecology, conservation and development Fieldwork in Brazilian Amazonia, Vietnam and Central Kalimantan

Arun Agrawal

Political science; community-based natural resource management Coordinator of the IFRI research network



... exploring how people shape the world's forests

The challenge

Land-use decisions in tropical forest-agriculture landscapes:

Forests: ecosystem services (carbon), biodiversity, forestdependent people

Agriculture: food security, changing diets, 9 billion people & 70% more food by 2050



Agricultural intensification

Necessary...

Green revolution (1961 to 2005) avoided ~161 Gt C emissions or 3.7 Gt C/year (Burney et al. 2010)

...but not sufficient

Intensification often leads to expansion or increases emissions in long run

Institutions

Are critical to support the governance of intensification and land-use decisions

How do institutional arrangements affect environmental, economic and social outcomes in tropical forest landscapes where commodity agriculture is a key driver of forest loss?



Institutional arrangements exist, but there are gaps in knowledge

- What's happening
 - Documentation of innovations and outcomes
 - Institutional analysis
 - Comparison and generalizable lessons across innovations, countries and commodities
- Framing the problem
 - Supply chain focus
 - Linking agriculture and forests
 - Impacts on multiple outcomes

Governing mitigation trade-offs in forest-agriculture landscapes

University of Michigan, CCAFS, + partners



To improve governance for sustainable agricultural commodities

- Agricultural productivity and sustainability
- Carbon sequestration and reduced GHGs
- Livelihoods

Institutions and policies



Information

proforest

TRODUCTION A LA TABLE RONDE SUR L'HUILE DE PALME DURABLE (RSPO) THEME DU 06 AU 07 JUIN 2012 LIEU : AUDITORIUM DU MINISTERE DES EAUX ET FORETS

En partenariat avec le Ministère de l'économie, de l'emploi et du développement durable et le Ministère de l'Agriculture de l'élevage, de la pêche et du développement rural. U Solidaridad

diz

Photo: Proforest

VOLAM



SUPPLY-CHAIN (MARKET) ACTORS



Producers **Processors** Retailers Consumers supply

SUPPLY-CHAIN (MARKET) ACTORS



PERIPHERAL ACTORS

State (government)



Civil society (NGO, research, roundtables)



SUPPLY-CHAIN (MARKET) ACTORS

INTERVENTIONS

PERIPHERAL ACTORS







Enhancing sustainability of commodity agriculture in forest areas

Do innovations lead to more improved outcomes if they:

- Include policy at multiple scales?
- Are composites of information, incentives and institutions?
- Harness existing enabling conditions?
- Target consumers or producers?

Objectives

1. Characterize institutional arrangements of (successful) innovations

2. Analyze impacts of institutional arrangements on deforestation, GHG emissions and livelihoods.

3. Facilitate collaborative learning to improve outcomes

What and where?

Focal countries and commodities

Indonesia: oil palm



Brazil: cattle



Innovations

- RSPO certification
- Project POTICO's suitability mapper
- GAR's Forest Conservation Policy
- Kalimantan Forest Carbon Partnership
- Katingan Project's Ecological Restoration Concession (REDD+)
- Sustainable Agriculture Network's cattle certification

How? Institutional analysis

Descriptive

- Map actors, organizations, rules and norms
- Identify institutional functions and gaps

 e.g. clear and secure rights, distribution of
 benefits, enforcement

Analytical

- How do institutions affect pressures of forest conversion?
- How do institutions affect outcomes?

Sources of information

• Institutions

- Surveys, interviews
- Document and policy review

Outcomes

- Project data (What exists? What is planned?)
- Third party project assessments (organized by project)

Relevance

- Improve innovations (existing and new)
 - Project-specific opportunities
 - Exchange and learning across innovations
 - Generalizable lessons
- Provide attribution (e.g. for funders)
 - Demonstrate links between interventions and outcomes

Next steps

- Partner input on framework
- Expressions of interest
- Levels of engagement
 - Characterization of innovation case (surveys)
 - Detailed institutional analysis (primary data on institutions)
 - Relationship of institutions and outcomes (outcome data) "single snap shot"
 - Partner-driven, repeated snap shots



International Forestry Resources and Institutions

... exploring how people shape the world's forests

Acknowledgements

Funding: CCAFS

Collaboration: Alemayehu Ayana, Catherine Benson, David Edmunds, Edward Davey, Andréanne Grimard, Gabrielle Kissinger & Nathalie Walker

For more information: <u>newtonp@umich.edu</u>



RESEARCH PROGRAM ON Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security

