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Disclaimer

This presentation has been prepared by Golden Agri-Resources Ltd (“GAR” or “Company”) for informational purposes.

This presentation contains statements, representation which may or may not be derived from third party reports, quotes from sources other than from within the Company, projections and forward looking statements that reflect the Company’s current views with respect to future events and performances.

All statements and views contained in this presentation are based on current circumstances, practices and assumptions which may change over time, third party reports commissioned by GAR specifically for the purposes of this presentation, third party reports that are readily available and published in the public domain and specific third party reports that have been addressed to the Company and which contents are or is assumed to be in the public domain.

This presentation also contains statements of commitment by the Company to endeavour to perform certain acts pursuant to this presentation. Whereas all such statements are made in good faith and based on the prevailing circumstances that entitle the Company to believe it will perform such commitments, no assurance is or can be given that future events may occur that render the Company unable to perform partially or fully such commitments or vary the performance of such commitments. Nothing in this report may be used in any cause of action for any misrepresentation by the Company.

Opinions expressed herein reflect the judgement of the Company, or the belief by the Company on the reports produced by third parties quoted in this presentation as at the date of this presentation and may be subject to change without notice if the Company becomes aware of any information, whether specific to the Company, its business, or in general, which may have a material impact on any such opinions.

The information is current only as of its date and shall not, under any circumstances, create any implication that the information contained therein is correct as of any time subsequent to the date thereof. In the event any third party quoted in this presentation subsequently amends, varies or otherwise changes its own reports, such reports forming the basis of this presentation, and such third party did not inform the Company of such change of view, GAR shall not be held liable for any information in this presentation that has not been corrected or amended as a result of such changes initiated by such third party.

This presentation may be updated from time to time and notwithstanding anything stated hereabove, there is no undertaking by GAR to post any such amendments, addendum or supplements to this presentation.

The Company will not be responsible for any consequences resulting from the use of this presentation as well as the reliance upon any opinion or statement contained herein or for any omission.

© Golden Agri-Resources Ltd. All rights reserved.
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Introduction
Strategic importance of palm oil to Indonesia

Critical role of palm oil industry in Indonesia’s development

Concerns over the impact of the industry

Focus on working with multi-stakeholders to find solutions to sustainable palm oil production.
People, planet and profits

Sustainable Development

Economic growth

Environmental sustainability

Social well-being
Multi-stakeholder collaboration

Golden Agri-Resources believes that multi-stakeholder collaboration is the only way to achieving solutions for sustainable palm oil production.
Multi-stakeholder collaboration

Open and transparent

Collaboration

Common ground

Taking the lead
GAR Forest Conservation Policy

• Builds on GAR’s pre-existing commitments.

• GAR’s FCP in collaboration with The Forest Trust (TFT) to ensure that GAR has a no deforestation footprint. Various stakeholders including Greenpeace have provided inputs.

• FCP focuses on

| No development on peat and high conservation value forest areas | No development on high carbon stock forests | Free prior informed consent | Comply all relevant laws and international certification P&C |
GAR Forest Conservation Policy

- Holistic approach: Implemented Social and Community Engagement Policy (SCEP) and Yield Improvement Policy (YIP) in collaboration with TFT and other stakeholders.

- Ultimately, the conserved High Carbon Stock (HCS) area can revert to its natural ecological function as a forest.

- Applicable to all the plantations that GAR owns, manages or invests in regardless of the stake.
The HCS Forest Study Report was published following the completion of the HCS forest fieldwork conducted under GAR’s Forest Conservation Policy.

The report was presented at the REDD+ Task Force Seminar on 5 June 2012 in Jakarta.

Summary of HCS forest study findings
Summary of HCS forest study findings

The findings of HCS forest study indicate that vegetation cover can be used to:

- Estimate the level of carbon stocks.
- Stratify into different classes to broadly represent different carbon stocks.

They also indicate that:

- This is a practical and robust method to identify HCS in GAR’s concessions in Kalimantan.
- However, it needs further testing and field work as a reliable predictive tool for HCS forest across Indonesia.
Results: Weighted average carbon stock

• Indicate that carbon stock declines correspondingly to a decline in vegetation canopy density.

• Support the use of vegetation canopy cover to estimate the average carbon stock and therefore as a useful way to define and map HCS.

In addition, results indicate:
• Similarities in the carbon stock of strata across the different concessions.

• Differences in the carbon stock between strata.
Results: Weighted average carbon stock

By plotting the weighted average carbon stock of the various strata, we noticed that some of the strata’s carbon values overlap.

Weighted average carbon stock of the various strata
Results: Analysis of variance

Conducted analysis of variance:

• There are no significant differences between HK3 and HK2.
• There are no significant differences between BM and LT.
• Other pairs of strata are significantly different from each other, such as between HK1 and BT, and between BM and HK3.
Strata descriptions and photographs
Strata descriptions

The following are qualitative descriptions that have been developed by the technical team.

- **HK3** – Remnant forest or advanced secondary forest close to primary condition;
- **HK2** – Remnant forest but more disturbed than High Density Forest;
- **HK1** - Appears to be remnant forest but highly disturbed and recovering (may contain plantation/mixed garden);
- **BT** – Mostly young re-growth forest, but with occasional patches of older forest within the stratum;
- **BM** – Recently cleared areas, some woody re-growth and grass-like ground cover;
- **LT** – Very recently cleared land with mostly grass or crops, few woody plants.
High Density Forest: HK3
Medium Density Forest: HK2
Low Density Forest: HK1
Old Scrub: BT
Young Scrub: BM
Cleared/Open Land: LT
Limitations of study

- Not all AGB measured.
- Not a full biological survey.
- Limited to areas permitted by communities.
- Quality of satellite images.
- Human error in interpreting images.
- Insufficient ground truthing.
Conservation of HCS areas
Conservation of HCS areas: patches and isolated HCS areas

- Found patches of varying size and degree of isolation for the different strata throughout the concessions.
- Studies indicate that the size, shape, connectivity, and quality of these forest patches affect viability of these patches to regenerate into an ecologically functioning natural forest.
- Key principles to guide the analysis and patch selection process and to provide on-going monitoring and management.
  - Maximise the overall size of a patch.
  - Maximise the “core area” of a patch (area of forests relatively unaffected by “edge” effects).
  - Maximise the degree of connectedness between patches and create corridors and linkages between patches.
Conservation of HCS areas: Broader social and management issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Determining the legal status of HCS conservation areas.</th>
<th>Managing the impact of the HCS conservation areas on oil palm plantation design and management.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obtaining FPIC from local communities.</td>
<td>Using oil palm plantation design to support inter-rotation connectivity between patches of conserved HCS forests to facilitate movement of animals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local communities’ support and involvement is vital.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion
Conclusion

HCS Findings:

1. Facilitate GAR’s commitment to ensure no deforestation footprint.

2. Indicate a practical and robust method to identify HCS in GAR’s concessions in Kalimantan.

3. Further testing and fieldwork would be required for the methodology to be used as a reliable predictive tool for HCS forests across Indonesia.
Achieving success: Multi-stakeholder collaboration

- **Communities**
  - Value and protect HCS land

- **Industry**
  - Support and adopt HCS policy

- **Government**
  - Policies to protect HCS land and implement land swap
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